AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q79990

Application No.: 10/816,888

## REMARKS

Claims 1-24 are all the claims pending in the application.

I. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Fujimori (U.S. 7,009,942) in view of Fellman (U.S. 6,980,990). Applicants traverse this rejection based on the following comments.

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A method of automatically determining a device's nickname in a network based on a peer-to-peer scheme, the method comprising: recognizing a first device that has been connected to the network without being assigned any nickname, wherein the nickname identifies a characteristic of the first device and can be recognized by the network; selecting a nickname for the recognized first device from a recommended nickname table which is also connected to the network; and registering information regarding the selected nickname in a nickname registration-managing module connected to the network.

Thus, in the present invention, automatically determining a device's nickname is accomplished <u>in a network based on a peer-to-peer scheme</u>. However, Fujimori and Fellman are completely silent as to <u>the network based on a peer-to-peer scheme</u>, and does not teach or suggest <u>the network based on a peer-to-peer scheme</u>. For example, Fujimori merely teaches a LAN network, which is not a network based on a peer-to-peer scheme.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that Fujimori, alone or in combination with Fellman, does not teach or suggest each and every feature of claim 1. Thus, claim 1 should be patentable for at least this reason.

11

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q79990

Application No.: 10/816,888

Similarly, Applicants submit that independent claims 7, 9, 13 and 14 are patentable for

analogous reasons. Further, Applicants submit that dependent claims 2-6, 8, 10-12 and 15-24 are

patentable at least by virtue of their respective dependencies on claims 1, 7, 9 and 14.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ryan F. Heavener/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

acsimile: (202) 293-

23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 4, 2009

Ryan F. Heavener Registration No. 61,512

12